

MOMENT PROBLEM IN INFINITELY MANY VARIABLES

MEHDI GHASEMI¹, SALMA KUHLMANN², MURRAY MARSHALL¹

ABSTRACT. The multivariate moment problem is investigated in the general context of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[x_i \mid i \in \Omega]$ in an arbitrary number of variables x_i , $i \in \Omega$. The results obtained are sharpest when the index set Ω is countable. Extensions of Haviland's theorem [13] and Nussbaum's theorem [28] are proved. Lasserre's description of the support of the measure in terms of the non-negativity of the linear functional on a quadratic module of $\mathbb{R}[x_i \mid i \in \Omega]$ in [21] is shown to remain valid in this more general situation. The main tool used in the paper is an extension of the localization method developed by the third author in [24], [26] and [27]. Various results proved in [24], [26] and [27] are shown to continue to hold in this more general setting.

1. INTRODUCTION

The univariate moment problem is an old problem with origins tracing back to work of Stieltjes [37]. Given a sequence $(s_k)_{k \geq 0}$ of real numbers one wants to know when there exists a Radon measure μ on \mathbb{R} such that

$$s_k = \int x^k d\mu \quad \forall k \geq 0.^1$$

Since the monomials x^k , $k \geq 0$ form a basis for the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[x]$, this problem is equivalent to the following one: Given a linear functional $L : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, when does there exist a Radon measure μ on \mathbb{R} such that $L(f) = \int f d\mu \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. One also wants to know to what extent the measure is unique, assuming it exists. [1] and [35] are standard references.

Work on the multivariate moment problem is more recent. For $n \geq 1$, $\mathbb{R}[\underline{x}] := \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ denotes the polynomial ring in n variables x_1, \dots, x_n . Given a linear functional $L : \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ one wants to know when there exists a Radon measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n such that $L(f) = \int f d\mu \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}]$. Again, one also wants to know to what extent the measure is unique, assuming it exists. [4], [8], [19], [25], [31] are general references. A major motivation here is the close connection between the multivariate moment problem and polynomial optimization using semidefinite programming; see [19], [22], [25] and the references therein.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 44A60 Secondary 14P99.

Key words and phrases. positive definite, moments, sums of squares, Carleman condition.

¹All Radon measures considered are assumed to be positive.

There appear to be only just a few papers dealing with the moment problem in infinitely many variables. Of these, the present paper seems to be the only one dealing with the general case. [9] deals with the special case where the linear functional $L : \mathbb{R}[x_i \mid i \in \Omega] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with respect to a submultiplicative seminorm (more generally, with respect to a locally multiplicatively convex topology) on $\mathbb{R}[x_i \mid i \in \Omega]$. [7], [10] and [11] are precursors of [9]. [3] and [14] deal with the case of symmetric algebras over nuclear spaces.

The method used in the present paper is an extension of the localization method in [24], [26] and [27], the latter method being motivated in turn by results in [18], [23] and [32]. It is worth noting that, although some of the results in [24], [26] and [27] are similar in nature to those in [32], the arguments are completely different.

The paper was written with no particular application in mind. At the same time, it seems reasonable to expect that applications do exist. E.g., there may be connections to some variant of the semi-infinite polynomial optimization problem considered in [20], [38].

Section 2 introduces terminology and notation. Two important new concepts, constructibly Borel sets and constructibly Radon measures, are defined in this section. In Section 3 we introduce three algebras $A = A_\Omega := \mathbb{R}[x_i \mid i \in \Omega]$, $B = B_\Omega := \mathbb{R}[x_i, \frac{1}{1+x_i^2} \mid i \in \Omega]$, and $C = C_\Omega := \mathbb{R}[\frac{1}{1+x_i^2}, \frac{x_i}{1+x_i^2} \mid i \in \Omega]$, show how the moment problem for A_Ω reduces to understanding the extensions of a linear functional $L : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to a PSD linear functional on B_Ω , see Proposition 3.4, and prove that PSD linear functionals $L : B_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ correspond bijectively to constructibly Radon measures on \mathbb{R}^Ω , see Proposition 3.8. We also consider the important question of when the constructibly Radon measures thus obtained are actually Radon, see Proposition 3.6, Remark 3.7 and Proposition 5.4. In Section 4 we explain how results in [26] and [27] carry over, more-or-less word-for-word, to the case of infinitely many variables. In particular, we extend results of Fuglede [8] and Petersen [29], see Propositions 4.3 and 4.5, and we establish extensions of Nussbaum's well-known sufficient condition for a linear functional $L : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to correspond to a measure [28]; see Propositions 4.6, 4.8 and 4.10. Section 5 deals with the problem of describing supporting sets for the measure. There are a number of important results in Section 5, see for example Proposition 5.1, which is an extension of Haviland's theorem [13], and Proposition 5.2, which is an extension of a result of Lasserre [21]. In Section 6 we explain how the cylinder results in [24], [26] and [27] extend to infinitely many variables.

The reader will notice that everything works more-or-less perfectly in case Ω is countable. If Ω is uncountable everything still works, but one typically only knows that the measures obtained are constructibly Radon (as opposed to Radon) and the

results obtained concerning the support of the measure are a bit more restrictive than one might like.

2. TERMINOLOGY AND NOTATION

All rings considered are commutative with 1. All ring homomorphisms considered send 1 to 1. All rings we are interested in are \mathbb{R} -algebras. For $n \geq 1$, $\mathbb{R}[\underline{x}] := \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. For a topological space X , $C(X)$ denotes the ring of all continuous functions from X to \mathbb{R} .

Let A be a commutative ring. $X(A)$ denotes the *character space* of A , i.e., the set of all ring homomorphisms $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For $a \in A$, $\hat{a} = \hat{a}_A : X(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\hat{a}_A(\alpha) = \alpha(a)$. $X(A)$ is given the weakest topology such that the functions \hat{a}_A , $a \in A$ are continuous. The mapping $a \mapsto \hat{a}_A$ defines a ring homomorphism from A into $C(X(A))$. The only ring homomorphism from \mathbb{R} to itself is the identity. Ring homomorphisms from $\mathbb{R}[\underline{x}]$ to \mathbb{R} correspond to point evaluations $f \mapsto f(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$. $X(\mathbb{R}[\underline{x}])$ is identified (as a topological space) with \mathbb{R}^n . By a *quadratic module* of A we mean a subset M of A satisfying

$$1 \in M, \quad M + M \subseteq M \quad \text{and} \quad a^2 M \subseteq M \quad \text{for each } a \in A.$$

A *preordering* of A is a quadratic module of A which is also closed under multiplication. For a subset X of $X(A)$,

$$\text{Pos}_A(X) := \{a \in A \mid \hat{a}_A \geq 0 \text{ on } X\}$$

is a preordering of A . We denote by $\sum A^2$ the set of all finite sums $\sum a_i^2$, $a_i \in A$. $\sum A^2$ is the unique smallest quadratic module of A . $\sum A^2$ is closed under multiplication, so $\sum A^2$ is also the unique smallest quadratic preordering of A . For a subset $S \subseteq A$, the quadratic module of A generated by S consists of all finite sums $s_0 + s_1 g_1 + \dots + s_k g_k$, $g_1, \dots, g_k \in S$, $s_0, \dots, s_k \in \sum A^2$. Also,

$$X_S := \{\alpha \in X(A) \mid \hat{a}_A(\alpha) \geq 0 \quad \forall a \in S\}.$$

If $M = \sum A^2$ then $X_M = X(A)$. If M is the quadratic module of A generated by S then $X_M := X_S$. A quadratic module M in A is said to be *archimedean* if for each $a \in A$ there exists an integer k such that $k \pm a \in M$. If M is a quadratic module of A which is archimedean then X_M is compact. The converse is false in general [16].

For simplicity, we assume from now on that A is an \mathbb{R} -algebra. We record the following special case of the representation theorem of T. Jacobi [15].

Proposition 2.1. Suppose M is an archimedean quadratic module of A . Then, for any $a \in A$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\hat{a}_A \geq 0$ on X_M .
- (2) $a + \epsilon \in M$ for all real $\epsilon > 0$.

Note: The implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) is trivial. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is non-trivial. See [2], [17] and [30] for early versions of Jacobi's theorem. See [25] for a simple proof.

The open sets

$$U_A(a) := \{\alpha \in X(A) \mid \hat{a}_A(\alpha) > 0\}, \quad a \in A$$

form a subbasis for the topology on $X(A)$ (even a basis). Suppose A is generated as an \mathbb{R} -algebra by $x_i, i \in \Omega$. The embedding $X(A) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\Omega$ defined by $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha(x_i))_{i \in \Omega}$ identifies $X(A)$ with a subspace of \mathbb{R}^Ω . Sets of the form

$$\{b \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega \mid \sum_{i \in I} (b_i - p_i)^2 < r\},$$

where $r, p_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and I is a finite subset of Ω , form a basis for the product topology on \mathbb{R}^Ω . It follows that sets of the form

$$(2.1) \quad U_A(r - \sum_{i \in I} (x_i - p_i)^2), \quad r, p_i \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad I \text{ a finite subset of } \Omega,$$

form a basis for $X(A)$.

A subset E of $X(A)$ is called *Borel* if E is an element of the σ -algebra of subsets of $X(A)$ generated by the open sets. A subset E of $X(A)$ is said to be *constructible* (resp., *constructibly Borel*) if E is an element of the algebra (resp., σ -algebra) of subsets of $X(A)$ generated by the $U_A(a), a \in A$.

Clearly constructible \Rightarrow constructibly Borel \Rightarrow Borel.

Proposition 2.2. If A is generated as an \mathbb{R} -algebra by a countable set $\{x_i \mid i \in \Omega\}$ then sets of the form (2.1) form a countable basis for the topology on $X(A)$ and every Borel set of $X(A)$ is constructibly Borel.

Proof. This is clear. \square

Proposition 2.3. A subset E of $X(A)$ is constructibly Borel iff $E = \pi^{-1}(E')$ for some Borel set E' of $X(A')$, where A' is a countably generated subalgebra of A and $\pi : X(A) \rightarrow X(A')$ is the canonical restriction map, i.e., $\pi(\alpha) = \alpha|_{A'}$.

Proof. Clearly $U_A(a) = \pi^{-1}(U_{A'}(a))$ for any $a \in A'$. Coupled with Proposition 2.2 this implies that, for each Borel set E' of $X(A')$, $\pi^{-1}(E')$ is an element of the σ -algebra of subsets of $X(A)$ generated by the $U_A(a), a \in A'$ (and conversely). Denote this σ -algebra by $\Sigma_{A'}$. It remains now to show that the union of the σ -algebras $\Sigma_{A'}, A'$ running through the countably generated subalgebras of A , is itself a σ -algebra. This follows from the well-known fact that a countable union of countable sets is countable (so the subalgebra of A generated by countably many countably generated subalgebras of A is itself countably generated). \square

The support of a measure is not defined in general. For a measure space (X, Σ, μ) and a subset Y of X , we say μ is *supported by* Y if $E \cap Y = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mu(E) = 0 \forall E \in \Sigma$. In this situation, if $\Sigma' := \{E \cap Y \mid E \in \Sigma\}$, and $\mu'(E \cap Y) := \mu(E) \forall E \in \Sigma$, then Σ' is a σ -algebra of subsets of Y , μ' is a well-defined measure on (Y, Σ') , the inclusion map $i : Y \rightarrow X$ is a measurable function, and μ is the pushforward of μ' to X .

Recall that if (Y, Σ', μ') is a measure space, (X, Σ) is a σ -algebra, $i : Y \rightarrow X$ is any measurable function, and μ is the pushforward of μ' to (X, Σ) , then for each measurable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\int f d\mu = \int (f \circ i) d\mu'$ [12, Theorem 39C]. This is the well-known *change in variables theorem*.

A *Radon measure* on $X(A)$ is a positive measure μ on the σ -algebra of Borel sets of $X(A)$ which is locally finite and inner regular. Locally finite means that every point has a neighbourhood of finite measure. Inner regular means each Borel set can be approximated from within using a compact set.

Definition 2.4. A *constructibly Radon measure* on $X(A)$ is a positive measure μ on the σ -algebra of constructibly Borel sets of $X(A)$ such that for, each countably generated subalgebra A' of A , the pushforward of μ to $X(A')$ via the restriction map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha|_{A'}$ is a Radon measure on $X(A')$.

We are interested here in Radon and constructibly Radon measures having the additional property that \hat{a}_A is μ -integrable (i.e., $\int \hat{a}_A d\mu$ is well-defined and finite) for all $a \in A$. For a linear functional $L : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, one can consider the set of Radon or constructibly Radon measures μ on $X(A)$ such that $L(a) = \int \hat{a}_A d\mu \forall a \in A$. The *moment problem* is to understand this set of measures, for a given linear functional $L : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In particular, one wants to know: (i) When is this set non-empty? (ii) In case it is non-empty, when is it a singleton set?

A linear functional $L : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *positive semidefinite* (PSD) if $L(\sum A^2) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ and *positive* if $L(\text{Pos}_A(X(A))) \subseteq [0, \infty)$.

3. THREE SPECIAL \mathbb{R} -ALGEBRAS

Let $A = A_\Omega := \mathbb{R}[x_i \mid i \in \Omega]$, the ring of polynomials in the variables x_i , $i \in \Omega$ with coefficients in \mathbb{R} , $B = B_\Omega := \mathbb{R}[x_i, \frac{1}{1+x_i^2} \mid i \in \Omega]$, the localization of A at the multiplicative set generated by the $1 + x_i^2$, $i \in \Omega$, and $C = C_\Omega := \mathbb{R}[\frac{1}{1+x_i^2}, \frac{x_i}{1+x_i^2} \mid i \in \Omega]$, the \mathbb{R} -subalgebra of B generated by the elements $\frac{1}{1+x_i^2}$, $\frac{x_i}{1+x_i^2}$, $i \in \Omega$. Here, Ω is an arbitrary index set.

By definition, A (resp., B , resp., C) is the direct limit of the \mathbb{R} -algebras A_I (resp., B_I , resp., C_I), I running through all finite subsets of Ω . Because of this, many questions about A , B and C reduce immediately to the case where Ω is finite. Observe also that if Ω is finite then B is equal to the localization of A at $p := \prod_{i \in \Omega} (1 + x_i^2)$ considered in [26]. This is clear.

Elements of $X(A)$ and $X(B)$ are naturally identified with point evaluations $f \mapsto f(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$. Note that $X(A) = X(B) = \mathbb{R}^\Omega$, not just as sets, but also as topological spaces, giving \mathbb{R}^Ω the product topology.

Proposition 3.1.

- (1) For $f \in B$, the following are equivalent:
 - (i) $f \in C$;
 - (ii) f is *geometrically bounded*, i.e., $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(\alpha)| \leq k \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$;
 - (iii) f is *algebraically bounded*, i.e., $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \pm f \in \sum B^2$.
- (2) $\sum B^2 \cap C = \sum C^2$. In particular, $\sum C^2$ is archimedean.
- (3) C is naturally identified (via $y_i \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{1+x_i^2}$ and $z_i \leftrightarrow \frac{x_i}{1+x_i^2}$) with the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[y_i, z_i \mid i \in \Omega]$ factored by the ideal generated by the polynomials $y_i^2 + z_i^2 - y_i = (y_i - \frac{1}{2})^2 + z_i^2 - \frac{1}{4}$, $i \in \Omega$. Consequently, $X(C)$ is identified naturally with \mathbb{S}^Ω , where $\mathbb{S} := \{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid (y - \frac{1}{2})^2 + z^2 = \frac{1}{4}\}$.
- (4) The restriction map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha|_C$ identifies $X(B)$ with a subspace of $X(C)$. In terms of coordinates, this map is given by $\alpha = (x_i)_{i \in \Omega} \mapsto \beta = (y_i, z_i)_{i \in \Omega}$, where $y_i := \frac{1}{1+x_i^2}$, $z_i := \frac{x_i}{1+x_i^2}$. In particular, the image of $X(B)$ is dense in $X(C)$.

Proof. See [26, Remark 2.2]. □

Proposition 3.2.

- (1) For $f \in C$, $\hat{f}_C \geq 0$ on $X(C)$ iff $f + \epsilon \in \sum C^2 \forall$ real $\epsilon > 0$.
- (2) For $f \in B$, $\hat{f}_B \geq 0$ on $X(B)$ iff $\exists q$ of the form $q = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + x_{i_k}^2)^{\ell_k}$, where x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} are the variables appearing in f , such that $f + \epsilon q \in \sum B^2$, \forall real $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. (1) Since $\sum C^2$ is archimedean, this is immediate from proposition 2.1. (2) If $f \in B$, say $f \in B_{\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}}$, there exists an element q of the form $q = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + x_{i_k}^2)^{\ell_k}$ such that $\frac{f}{q} \in C$. Thus, if $f \geq 0$ on $X(B)$ then $\frac{f}{q} \geq 0$ on $X(C)$ so $\frac{f}{q} + \epsilon \in \sum C^2$ and, consequently, $f + \epsilon q \in \sum B^2$, \forall real $\epsilon > 0$. □

It follows from Proposition 3.2 that linear functionals $L : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, resp., $L : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, are PSD iff they are positive. For linear functionals $L : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ this is never the case, except when $|\Omega| \leq 1$; see [6] [33].

Proposition 3.3. Suppose $L : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear functional and $L(\text{Pos}_A(Y)) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ for some closed set $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\Omega$. Then L extends to a linear functional $L : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $L(\text{Pos}_B(Y)) \subseteq [0, \infty)$.

Note: The extension is not unique, in general.

Proof. The proof is a simple modification of the Zorn's lemma argument in [24, Theorem 3.1]. Denote by $C'(Y)$ the \mathbb{R} -algebra of all continuous functions $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

which are bounded by some \hat{a} , $a \in A$ in the sense that $|f| \leq |\hat{a}|$ on Y . As in the proof of [24, Theorem 3.1] \exists a linear functional $\overline{L} : C'(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is positive (i.e., $\forall f \in C'(Y)$, $f \geq 0$ on $Y \Rightarrow \overline{L}(f) \geq 0$) such that $L(a) = \overline{L}(\hat{a}|_Y) \forall a \in A$. If $b \in B$ then

$$b = \frac{a}{(1 + x_{i_1}^2)^{\ell_1} \cdots (1 + x_{i_n}^2)^{\ell_n}}$$

for some $a \in A$, $n \geq 1$, $i_k \in \Omega$, $\ell_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Since $1 + \alpha^2 \geq 1 \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ it follows that $|\hat{b}| \leq |\hat{a}|$ on Y , i.e., $\hat{b}|_Y \in C'(Y) \forall b \in B$. Define $L : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $L(b) = \overline{L}(\hat{b}|_Y)$. \square

Proposition 3.4. For a linear functional $L : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following are equivalent:

- (1) L is a positive linear functional.
- (2) L extends to a positive (i.e., PSD) linear functional $L : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- (3) $\forall f \in A$ and $\forall p$ of the form $p = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + x_{i_k}^2)^{\ell_k}$, where x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} are the variables appearing in f and $\ell_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, $pf \in \sum A^2 \Rightarrow L(f) \geq 0$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Apply Proposition 3.3 with $Y = \mathbb{R}^\Omega$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Since $pf \in \sum A^2$, it follows that $p^2 f \in \sum A^2$, so $f \in \sum B^2$. Since the extension of L to B is PSD this implies $L(f) \geq 0$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose $f \in A$, $\hat{f} \geq 0$. By Proposition 3.2 (2) $\exists q = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + x_{i_k}^2)^{\ell_k}$, where x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} are the variables appearing in f , such that $f + \epsilon q \in \sum B^2 \forall \epsilon > 0$. Clearing denominators, $p^2(f + \epsilon q) \in \sum A^2$ for some p (depending on ϵ) of the form $p = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + x_{i_k}^2)^{m_k}$. By (3), $L(f) + \epsilon L(q) = L(f + \epsilon q) \geq 0$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this implies $L(f) \geq 0$. \square

PSD linear functionals $L : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ restrict to PSD linear functionals on C . PSD linear functionals $L : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are in natural one-to-one correspondence with Radon measures μ on the compact space $X(C)$ via $L \leftrightarrow \mu$ iff $L(f) = \int \hat{f}_C d\mu \forall f \in C$. This is well-known, e.g., see [24, Corollary 3.3 and Remark 3.5].

For $i \in \Omega$, let $\Delta_i := \{\beta \in X(C) \mid \beta(\frac{1}{1+x_i^2}) = 0\}$. Because of the way $X(C)$ is being identified with \mathbb{S}^Ω , Δ_i is identified with the set

$$\{(y_j, z_j)_{j \in \Omega} \in \mathbb{S}^\Omega \mid y_i = z_i = 0\}.$$

It is clear that $X(C) \setminus X(B)$ is the union of the sets Δ_i , $i \in \Omega$. For each $f \in B$ one can associate a continuous function

$$\tilde{f} : X(C) \setminus (\Delta_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{i_n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} are the variables appearing in f . Observe that $f \in B_{\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}}$. Define $\tilde{f} = \hat{f}_{B_{\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}}} \circ \pi$ where $\pi : X(C) \rightarrow X(C_{\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}})$ is the restriction map. Observe that the inverse image under π of the set $X(C_{\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}}) \setminus X(B_{\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}})$ is precisely the set $\Delta_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{i_n}$. Note also that $\tilde{f}|_{X(B)} = \hat{f}_B$.

Proposition 3.5. For each PSD linear functional $L : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exists a unique Radon measure μ on $X(C)$ such that $L(f) = \int \hat{f}_C d\mu \forall f \in C$. This satisfies $\mu(\Delta_i) = 0 \forall i \in \Omega$ and $L(f) = \int \tilde{f} d\mu \forall f \in B$.

Proof. Fix a finite subset $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ of Ω . By [24, Corollary 3.3] there exists a Radon measure μ on $X(C)$ and a Radon measure μ_I on $X(C_I)$ such that $L(f) = \int \hat{f}_C d\mu \forall f \in C$ and $L(f) = \int \hat{f}_{C_I} d\mu_I \forall f \in C_I$. Applying [24, Corollary 3.4] with $p = (1 + x_{i_1}^2) \dots (1 + x_{i_n}^2)$, there exists a Radon measure ν_I on $X(B_I)$ such that $L(f) = \int \hat{f}_{B_I} d\nu_I \forall f \in B_I$. By [24, Remark 3.5] the measures μ, μ_I, ν_I are unique. Denote by μ'_I the pushforward of μ to $X(C_I)$ by the restriction map $\pi : X(C) \rightarrow X(C_I)$. Since $\hat{f}_C = \hat{f}_{C_I} \circ \pi \forall f \in C_I$, it follows that $\int \hat{f}_{C_I} d\mu'_I = \int \hat{f}_C d\mu = L(f) \forall f \in C_I$, so uniqueness of μ_I implies $\mu'_I = \mu_I$. A similar argument shows that μ_I is the pushforward of ν_I via the natural embedding $X(B_I) \hookrightarrow X(C_I)$. It follows that $\mu(\Delta_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup \Delta_{i_n}) = \mu_I(X(C_I) \setminus X(B_I)) = 0$. Since I is an arbitrary finite subset of Ω , this implies $\mu(\Delta_i) = 0 \forall i \in \Omega$. Suppose now that $f \in B_I$. Since $\tilde{f} = \hat{f}_{B_I} \circ \pi$, $\int \tilde{f} d\mu = \int \hat{f}_{B_I} d\nu_I = L(f)$ as required. \square

One would like to know when there exists a Radon measure ν on $X(B)$ such that $L(f) = \int \hat{f}_B d\nu \forall f \in B$.

Proposition 3.6. Let L be a PSD linear functional on B and let μ be the Radon measure on $X(C)$ associated to L . The following are equivalent:

- (1) \exists a Radon measure ν on $X(B)$ such that $L(f) = \int \hat{f}_B d\nu \forall f \in B$.
- (2) \forall Borel sets E of $X(C)$, $\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \text{ compact, } K \subseteq X(B) \cap E\}$.
- (3) $\mu(X(C)) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \text{ compact, } K \subseteq X(B)\}$.
- (4) μ is supported by a Borel set E of $X(C)$ such that $E \subseteq X(B)$.

Moreover, if this is the case, then $\nu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \text{ compact, } K \subseteq E\} \forall$ Borel sets E of $X(B)$. In particular, ν is uniquely determined by μ .

Proof. Assume (1). Denote by μ' the pushforward of ν to $X(C)$. Then, $\forall f \in C$, $\int \hat{f}_C d\mu' = \int \hat{f}_B d\nu = L(f)$. Uniqueness of μ implies $\mu' = \mu$. Since ν is Radon, (2) is now clear. (2) \Rightarrow (3) is obvious. Assume (3). Define $E = \cup_{n \geq 1} K_n$ where K_n is a compact subset of $X(B)$ such that $\mu(X(C) \setminus K_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Clearly $E \subseteq X(B)$, E is a Borel set of $X(C)$ and μ is supported by E . This proves (4). Assume (4). Then ν defined by $\nu(E' \cap X(B)) = \mu(E') \forall$ Borel sets E' of $X(C)$ is a Radon measure on $X(B)$. Since μ is the pushforward of ν to $X(C)$, $\int \hat{f}_B d\nu = \int \tilde{f} d\mu = L(f)$, so (1) is clear. The last assertion is clear. \square

Remark 3.7. If Ω is countable then $X(C) \setminus X(B) = \cup_{i \in \Omega} \Delta_i$ is a Borel set of measure zero, so the equivalent conditions of Proposition 3.6 hold in this case. We

know of no example where the conditions of Proposition 3.6 fail. It would be nice to have an example.²

It seems probable that, to handle the most general case, one has to relax the requirement that ν be Radon, requiring only that ν be constructibly Radon.

Proposition 3.8. There is a canonical one-to-one correspondence $L \leftrightarrow \nu$ given by $L(f) = \int \hat{f}_B d\nu \forall f \in B$ between PSD linear functionals L on B and constructibly Radon measures ν on $X(B)$ with the property that \hat{f}_B is ν -integrable $\forall f \in B$.

Proof. If ν is a constructibly Radon measure on $X(B)$ and \hat{f}_B is ν -integrable $\forall f \in B$ then it is clear that the map $f \mapsto \int \hat{f}_B d\nu$, $f \in B$ is a PSD linear functional on B . Conversely, suppose L is a PSD linear functional on B . Let μ be the measure defined in Proposition 3.5. For each subset I of Ω , consider the subalgebra B_I of B and the subalgebra C_I of C . Denote by μ_I the pushforward of μ via the canonical restriction map $\pi : X(C) \rightarrow X(C_I)$. One checks that μ_I is the Radon measure on $X(C_I)$ corresponding to the PSD linear map $L|_{B_I}$. In particular, if I is countable then $\mu_I(X(C_I) \setminus X(B_I)) = 0$.

Claim 1: If E in $X(C)$ is constructibly Borel and $X(B) \cap E = \emptyset$, then $\mu(E) = 0$. Say $E = \pi^{-1}(E')$, E' a Borel set in $X(C_I)$, $I \subseteq \Omega$ countable. Since the restriction map $X(B) \rightarrow X(B_I)$ is surjective, our hypothesis implies that $X(B_I) \cap E' = \emptyset$. It follows that $\mu(E) = \mu_I(E') = 0$ as required.

Claim 2: The constructibly Borel sets in $X(B)$ are precisely the sets of the form $X(B) \cap E$ where E is constructibly Borel in $X(C)$. This is more or less clear. If $f \in C$ then $U_B(f) = X(B) \cap U_C(f)$. If $f \in B$ then there exists p of the form $p = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + x_{i_k}^2)^{\ell_k}$ where x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} are the variables appearing in f such that $\frac{f}{p} \in C$. Also, $U_B(f) = U_B(\frac{f}{p})$ for any such p .

Define a measure ν on the σ -algebra of constructibly Borel subsets of $X(B)$ by $\nu(X(B) \cap E) := \mu(E) \forall$ constructibly Borel subsets E of $X(C)$. By Claim 1 ν is well-defined. By construction, μ is the pushforward of ν to $X(C)$. Also, $\hat{f}_B = \tilde{f}|_{X(B)} \forall f \in B$. It follows that $\int \hat{f}_B d\nu = \int \tilde{f} d\mu = L(f) \forall f \in B$. For each countable $I \subseteq \Omega$, the pushforward of ν to $X(B_I)$ is the Radon measure ν_I on $X(B_I)$ induced by μ_I using Proposition 3.6 and Remark 3.7. It follows that ν is constructibly Radon.

It remains to show that ν is unique. Let ν' be any constructibly Radon measure on $X(B)$ such that $\int \hat{f}_B d\nu' = L(f) \forall f \in B$. For $I \subseteq \Omega$ countable, let ν'_I be the pushforward of ν' to $X(B_I)$ and let μ'_I be the pushforward of ν'_I to $X(C_I)$.

²If we assume Ω is uncountable then it is easy enough to construct a Radon measure μ on $X(C)$ so that the equivalent conditions (2) and (3) fail. This is not a problem. The problem is to choose such a μ so that, in addition, $\int \tilde{f} d\mu$ is well-defined and finite for all $f \in B$.

Then $L(f) = \int \hat{f}_B d\nu' = \int \hat{f}_{C_I} d\mu'_I \forall f \in C_I$. Since we also have $L(f) = \int \hat{f}_B d\nu = \int \hat{f}_{C_I} d\mu_I \forall f \in C_I$, this implies $\int \hat{f}_{C_I} d\mu'_I = \int \hat{f}_{C_I} d\mu_I \forall f \in C_I$. Thus by uniqueness of μ_I , $\mu'_I = \mu_I \forall$ countable $I \subseteq \Omega$. This in turn implies that $\nu'_I = \nu_I \forall$ countable $I \subseteq \Omega$, so $\nu' = \nu$. \square

Remark 3.9. If μ is supported by a constructibly Borel set K in $X(C)$ then ν is supported by $K \cap X(B)$. This follows from Claim 1. If E is a constructibly Borel set in $X(C)$ and $E \cap K \cap X(B) = \emptyset$ then $\mu(E \cap K) = 0$. Since μ is supported by K this implies in turn that $\nu(E \cap X(B)) = \mu(E) = 0$. Unfortunately, we are unable to prove this in the more general setting where K is only assumed to be a Borel set of $X(C)$. Of course, if μ happens to be the pushforward of a Radon measure ν on $X(B)$ (the case considered in Proposition 3.6) then μ supported by $K \Rightarrow \nu$ supported by $K \cap X(B)$ for any Borel set K of $X(C)$.

4. MOMENT PROBLEM

We fix an index set Ω and define $A = A_\Omega$, $B = B_\Omega$ and $C = C_\Omega$ as in the previous section. We identify $X(A) = X(B) = \mathbb{R}^\Omega$. The measures ν arising in Proposition 3.8 have *finite moments*, i.e., $\int x^\alpha d\nu$ is well-defined and finite for all monomials $x^\alpha := x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots x_{i_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \subseteq \Omega$, $\alpha_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Conversely, if ν is a constructibly Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^Ω having finite moments then $L : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $L(f) := \int \hat{f} d\nu$ is a well-defined PSD linear functional on B . This is clear.

Much of what was done in [26] and [27] in the finite dimensional case carries over, more or less word for word, to the infinite dimensional case. Recall if (X, Σ, μ) is a measure space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function, then

$$\|f\|_{s,\mu} := \left[\int |f|^s d\mu \right]^{1/s}, \quad s \in [1, \infty).$$

The *Lebesgue space* $\mathcal{L}^s(\mu)$, by definition, is the \mathbb{C} -vector space

$$\mathcal{L}^s(\mu) := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is measurable and } \|f\|_{s,\mu} < \infty\}$$

equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{s,\mu}$.

Proposition 4.1. Suppose ν is a constructibly Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^Ω having finite moments. Then for any $s \in [1, \infty)$ the obvious \mathbb{C} -linear map $B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^s(\nu)$, $f \otimes r \mapsto r\hat{f}$ has dense image, equivalently, the image of B under the \mathbb{R} -linear map $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ is dense in the real part of $\mathcal{L}^s(\nu)$.

Note that $A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}[x_i \mid i \in \Omega]$, $B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}[x_i, \frac{1}{1+x_i^2} \mid i \in \Omega]$, and $C \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}[\frac{1}{1+x_i^2}, \frac{x_i}{1+x_i^2} \mid i \in \Omega]$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the step functions $\sum_{j=1}^m r_j \chi_{E_j}$, $r_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $E_j \subseteq X(B)$ a constructibly Borel set, belong to the closure of the image of $B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Using

the triangle inequality we are reduced further to the case $m = 1$, $r_1 = 1$. Let $E \subseteq X(B)$ be a constructibly Borel set. Writing $E = \pi^{-1}(E')$ where E' is a Borel set in $X(B_I)$, for some appropriate countable $I \subseteq \Omega$, and applying the change of variable theorem, we see that $\|\chi_E - \hat{f}_B\|_{s,\nu} = \|\chi_{E'} - \hat{f}_{B_I}\|_{s,\nu_I} \forall f \in B_I$. In this way we are reduced to the case where Ω is countable. Choose K compact, U open in $X(C)$ such that $K \subseteq E \subseteq U$, $\mu(U \setminus K) < \epsilon$. By Urysohn's lemma there exists a continuous function $\phi : X(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ on $X(C)$, $\phi = 1$ on K , $\phi = 0$ on $X(C) \setminus U$. Then $\|\chi_E - \phi\|_{s,\mu} \leq \epsilon^{1/s}$. Use the Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem to get $f \in C$ such that $\|\phi - \hat{f}_C\|_\infty < \epsilon$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the sup-norm. Then $\|\phi - \hat{f}_C\|_{s,\mu} \leq \epsilon \mu(X(C))^{1/s}$. Putting these things together yields $\|\chi_E - \hat{f}_B\|_{s,\nu} = \|\chi_E - \hat{f}_C\|_{s,\mu} \leq \|\chi_E - \phi\|_{s,\mu} + \|\phi - \hat{f}_C\|_{s,\mu} \leq \epsilon^{1/s} + \epsilon \mu(X(C))^{1/s}$. \square

From now on, by a constructibly Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^Ω we will mean a constructibly Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^Ω having finite moments.

Proposition 4.2. For any constructibly Radon measure ν on \mathbb{R}^Ω and any $s \in [1, \infty)$, $A_\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}^s(\nu)$ iff $A_\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is dense in $B_\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ in the $\|\cdot\|_{s,\nu}$ -norm.

Proof. Since the density property in question is transitive, this is immediate from Proposition 4.1. \square

Proposition 4.3. Suppose ν is a constructibly Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^Ω and $s \in (1, \infty)$. Suppose for each $j \in \Omega \exists q_{jk} \in A_\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|q_{jk} - \frac{1}{x_j - i}\|_{s,\nu} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then $A_\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}^{s'}(\nu)$ for each $s' \in [1, s)$.

Proof. Denote by $\overline{A_\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}}$ the closure of $A_\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ with respect to topology induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|_{s',\nu}$. It suffices to show that each $f \in B_\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ belongs to $\overline{A_\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}}$. The proof is by induction on the number of factors of the form $x_j \pm i$ appearing in the denominator of f . Suppose $x_j - i$ appears in the denominator of f . By induction, $f q_{jk}(x_j - i)$ belongs to $\overline{A_\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}}$, for each $k \geq 1$. Applying Hölder's inequality

$$\int |PQ| d\nu \leq \left[\int |P|^a d\nu \right]^{\frac{1}{a}} \cdot \left[\int |Q|^b d\nu \right]^{\frac{1}{b}}, \quad \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} = 1$$

with $P = |q_{jk} - \frac{1}{x_j - i}|^{s'}$, $Q = |f(x_j - i)|^{s'}$, $a = \frac{s}{s'}$, $b = \frac{s}{s-s'}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f q_{jk}(x_j - i) - f\|_{s',\nu} &= \|(q_{jk} - \frac{1}{x_j - i})f(x_j - i)\|_{s',\nu} \\ &\leq \|q_{jk} - \frac{1}{x_j - i}\|_{s,\nu} \cdot \|f(x_j - i)\|_{\frac{ss'}{s-s'},\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that f belongs to $\overline{A_\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}}$. The case where $x_j + i$ appears in the denominator of f is dealt with similarly, replacing q_{jk} by $\overline{q_{jk}}$. \square

Proposition 4.3 extends Petersen's result in [29, Proposition]. In the one variable case, i.e., when $|\Omega| = 1$, one can conclude also that $A_\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}^s(\nu)$; see [26, Corollary 3.3].

Caution: The proof given in [26, Corollary 3.6] is not correct. The proof in [26, Corollary 3.6] is correct when $q_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}[x_j]$ for each j and k .

The following result extends [26, Corollary 2.5] to the case where Ω is infinite.

Proposition 4.4. For any linear functional $L : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the set of constructibly Radon measures ν on \mathbb{R}^Ω satisfying $L(f) = \int \hat{f} d\nu \forall f \in A_\Omega$ is in natural one-to-one correspondence with the set of PSD linear functionals $L' : B_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ extending L .

Proof. If ν is a constructibly Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^Ω such that $L(f) = \int \hat{f} d\nu \forall f \in A_\Omega$, the corresponding extension of L to a PSD linear functional $L' : B_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $L'(f) = \int \hat{f} d\nu \forall f \in B_\Omega$. The correspondence $\nu \mapsto L'$ has the desired properties by Proposition 3.8. \square

For ν any constructibly Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^Ω , define $L_\nu : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $L_\nu(f) = \int \hat{f} d\nu \forall f \in A_\Omega$. If ν' is another constructibly Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^Ω , we write $\nu \sim \nu'$ to indicate that ν and ν' have the same moments, i.e., $L_\nu = L_{\nu'}$. We say ν is *determinate* if $\nu \sim \nu' \Rightarrow \nu = \nu'$ and *indeterminate* if this is not the case.

Proposition 4.5. Suppose $L : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear and, for each $j \in \Omega$,

$$(4.1) \quad \exists \text{ a sequence } \{p_{jk}\}_{k=1}^\infty \text{ in } A_\Omega \otimes \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L(|1 - (x_j - i)p_{jk}|^2) = 0.$$

Then there is at most one constructibly Radon measure ν on \mathbb{R}^Ω such that $L = L_\nu$.

Proof. Argue as in [26, Corollary 2.7]. \square

Proposition 4.5 extends Fuglede's result in [8, Section 7] and Petersen's result in [29, Theorem 3].

Proposition 4.6. Suppose $L : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear and PSD and, for each $j \in \Omega$,

$$(4.2) \quad \exists \text{ a sequence } \{q_{jk}\}_{k=1}^\infty \text{ in } A_\Omega \otimes \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L(|1 - (1 + x_j^2)q_{jk}\overline{q_{jk}}|^2) = 0.$$

Then there exists a unique constructibly Radon measure ν on \mathbb{R}^Ω such that $L = L_\nu$.

Proof. Argue as in [26, Corollaries 4.7 and 4.8] and [27, Theorem 0.1]. \square

Remark 4.7.

(i) For each $j \in \Omega$, condition (4.1) is implied by condition (4.2). This is clear.

Just take $p_{jk} = (x_j + i)q_{jk}\overline{q_{jk}}$.

(ii) For each $j \in \Omega$, condition (4.2) is implied by the Carleman condition

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt[2k]{L(x_j^{2k})}} = \infty.$$

See [5, Théorème 3] and [27, Lemma 0.2 and Theorem 0.3] for the proof.

(iii) The example in [36] shows that (4.2) is strictly weaker than (4.3).

Combining Proposition 4.6 and Remark 4.7 (ii) yields the following result, which is an extension of Nussbaum's result in [28].

Proposition 4.8. Suppose $L : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear and PSD and, for each $j \in \Omega$, the Carleman condition (4.3) holds. Then there exists a unique constructibly Radon measure ν on \mathbb{R}^Ω such that $L = L_\nu$.

Remark 4.9. Condition (4.3) holds in a large number of cases. It holds, for example, if there exists a constant $C_j > 0$ such that $L(x_j^{2k}) \leq C_j(2k)!$ for all $k \geq 1$. It holds, in particular, if L is continuous with respect to the vector space norm $\rho_w : A_\Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by $\rho_w(\sum a_\alpha x^\alpha) := \sum_\alpha |a_\alpha| w_\alpha$ where $w_\alpha := (2\lceil |\alpha|/2 \rceil)!$, see [21] for the definition of ρ_w in case $|\Omega| < \infty$, or if L is continuous with respect to the finest locally multiplicatively convex topology on A_Ω , see [9] and [10].

We mention another result of the same flavour which, in case $|\Omega| < \infty$, is due to Schmüdgen; see [26, Theorem 4.11] [34, Proposition 1].

Proposition 4.10. Suppose $L : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear and PSD. For each $j \in \Omega$ fix a Radon measure μ_j on \mathbb{R} such that $L|_{\mathbb{R}[x_j]} = L_{\mu_j}$ and suppose, for each $j \in \Omega$, that $\mathbb{C}[x_j]$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}^4(\mu_j)$, i.e.,

$$(4.4) \quad \exists \text{ a sequence } \{q_{jk}\}_{k=1}^\infty \text{ in } \mathbb{C}[x_j] \text{ such that } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|q_{jk} - \frac{1}{x_j - i}\|_{4, \mu_j} = 0.$$

Then there exists a unique constructibly Radon measure μ on \mathbb{R}^Ω such that $L = L_\mu$.

Proof. Argue as in [26, Theorem 4.11]. \square

One knows that (4.4) is also strictly weaker than (4.3). The exact relationship between (4.2) and (4.4) remains unclear.

5. THE SUPPORT OF THE MEASURE

We turn now to the problem of describing the support of the measure. As one might expect, the results we obtain are sharpest when Ω is countable.

We begin with an extension of Haviland's theorem [13], [25, Theorem 3.1.2]. Note that for a closed set $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\Omega$ the following are equivalent:

- (i) Y is described by countably many inequalities of the form $\hat{g} \geq 0$, $g \in A_\Omega$, i.e., \exists a countable subset S of A_Ω such that $Y = X_S = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega \mid \hat{g}(\alpha) \geq 0 \forall g \in S\}$.
- (ii) \exists a countable subset $I \subseteq \Omega$ and a closed subset Y' of \mathbb{R}^I such that $Y = \pi^{-1}(Y')$, where $\pi : \mathbb{R}^\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^I$ is the projection.

The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is a consequence of Proposition 2.2. If Ω is countable then any closed subset Y of \mathbb{R}^Ω satisfies these conditions.

Proposition 5.1. Suppose $L : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear and $L(\text{Pos}_{A_\Omega}(Y)) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ where Y is a closed subset of \mathbb{R}^Ω satisfying either of the equivalent conditions (i), (ii). Then there exists a constructibly Radon measure ν on \mathbb{R}^Ω supported by Y such that $L = L_\nu$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 there exists an extension of L to a linear functional L on B_Ω such that $L(\text{Pos}_{B_\Omega}(Y)) \subseteq [0, \infty)$. Denote by ν the constructibly Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^Ω corresponding to this extension. Fix a countable set S in A_Ω such that $Y = X_S$. For each $g \in S$, choose $g' \in C_\Omega$ of the form $g' = g/p_g$ for some suitably chosen element $p_g = (1 + x_{j_1}^2)^{e_1} \dots (1 + x_{j_k}^2)^{e_k}$. Let $S' = \{g' \mid g \in S\}$. Let $Q' =$ the quadratic module of C_Ω generated by S' , $Q =$ the quadratic module of B_Ω generated by S . Note that Q is also the quadratic module in B_Ω generated by S' , and $Q' \subseteq Q \subseteq \text{Pos}_{B_\Omega}(Y)$, so $L'(Q') \subseteq [0, \infty)$ where $L' := L|_{C_\Omega}$. By [24, Corollary 3.4] there exists a Radon measure μ on $X(C_\Omega)$ supported by $X_{Q'}$ such that $L'(f) = \int \hat{f} d\mu \forall f \in C_\Omega$. Uniqueness implies that μ is the Radon measure on $X(C_\Omega)$ defined in Proposition 3.5. Remark 3.9 implies that ν is supported by $X_{Q'} \cap X(B_\Omega) = X_Q = X_S = Y$. \square

Our next results extend [27, Corollary 0.6] and [27, Remark 0.7].

Proposition 5.2. Suppose $L : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a PSD linear map, (4.2) holds for each $j \in \Omega$, and $L(M) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ for some quadratic module M of A_Ω which is the extension of a quadratic module of A_I for some countable $I \subseteq \Omega$. Then the associated constructibly Radon measure ν on \mathbb{R}^Ω is supported by X_M .

An earlier version of Proposition 5.2 is proved already in [21, Theorem 2.2].

Proof. Denote by $L : B_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the PSD linear extension of L defined by $L(f) := \int \hat{f} d\nu \forall f \in B_\Omega$. Arguing as in [27, Theorem 0.5] one sees that $L(gh\bar{h}) \geq 0 \forall h \in B_\Omega \otimes \mathbb{C}$ (so, in particular, $L(gh^2) \geq 0 \forall h \in B_\Omega \forall g \in M$). Denote by Q the extension of M to B_Ω . It follows that $L(Q) \subseteq [0, \infty)$. By hypothesis, Q is the extension of a quadratic module Q_0 of B_I , so $Q' := Q \cap C_\Omega$ is the extension of $Q'_0 := Q_0 \cap C_I$, for some countable $I \subseteq \Omega$. Then $X_{Q'} = \pi^{-1}(X_{Q'_0})$, where $\pi : X(C_\Omega) \rightarrow X(C_I)$ denotes the restriction, so $X_{Q'}$ is constructibly Borel. By [24, Corollary 3.4] the Radon measure μ on $X(C_\Omega)$ associated to $L' = L|_{C_\Omega}$ is supported by $X_{Q'}$, so, by Remark 3.9, ν is supported by $X_M = X_Q = X_{Q'} \cap X(B_\Omega)$. \square

For a quadratic module of the form $M = \sum A_\Omega^2 + J$, J an ideal of A_Ω one can weaken the hypothesis a bit.

Proposition 5.3. Suppose $L = L_\nu$ for some constructibly Radon measure ν on \mathbb{R}^Ω and $L(J) = \{0\}$ for some countably generated ideal J of A_Ω . Then ν is supported by $Z(J)$. Here, $Z(J) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega \mid \hat{g}(\alpha) = 0 \forall g \in J\}$.

Proof. Let $M = \sum A_\Omega^2 + J$. Since L is PSD the hypothesis on J is equivalent to $L(M) \subseteq [0, \infty)$. The extension of M to B_Ω is $Q = \sum B_\Omega^2 + JB_\Omega$, where JB_Ω denotes the extension of J to B_Ω . Extend L to B_Ω in the obvious way, i.e., $L(f) = \int \hat{f} d\mu$ $\forall f \in B_\Omega$. By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, for $g \in A_\Omega$,

$$L(gh) = 0 \forall h \in A_\Omega \Leftrightarrow L(g^2) = 0 \Leftrightarrow L(gh) = 0 \forall h \in B_\Omega.$$

This implies $L(JB_\Omega) = \{0\}$, i.e., $L(Q) \subseteq [0, \infty)$. At this point everything is clear. \square

A special feature of the following result is that the measure ν obtained is Radon (not just constructibly Radon).

Proposition 5.4. Suppose M is a quadratic module of A_Ω and there exists a countable subset I of Ω such that the quadratic module $M \cap A_{\Omega \setminus I}$ of $A_{\Omega \setminus I}$ is archimedean. Suppose $L : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear, $L(M) \subseteq [0, \infty)$, and (4.2) holds for each $j \in I$. Then there exists a unique Radon measure ν on \mathbb{R}^Ω such that $L = L_\nu$. Moreover, ν is supported by X_M .

Special cases:

- (i) If M is an archimedean quadratic module of A_Ω then Proposition 5.4 applies, taking $I = \emptyset$.
- (ii) If Ω is countable then Proposition 5.4 applies to any quadratic module M of A_Ω , taking $I = \Omega$ (so $M \cap A_{\Omega \setminus I} = M \cap A_\emptyset = M \cap \mathbb{R} = \{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid r \geq 0\}$, a quadratic module of \mathbb{R} which is obviously archimedean).

Proof. By hypothesis, there exists $N_j > 0$ such that $N_j^2 - x_j^2 \in M$ for each $j \in \Omega \setminus I$. It follows, e.g., using proposition 2.1, that $L(x_j^{2k}) \leq N_j^{2k} L(1)$, so (4.3) holds for each $j \in \Omega \setminus I$. By Proposition 4.6 there exists a unique constructibly Radon measure ν on \mathbb{R}^Ω such that $L = L_\nu$. Extending L to B_Ω in the obvious way and arguing as in Proposition 5.2 we see that $L(Q) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ where Q is the extension of M to B_Ω . By [24, Corollary 3.4] there exists a Radon measure μ on $X(C_\Omega)$ supported by $X_{Q \cap C_\Omega}$ such that $L(f) = \int \hat{f}_{C_\Omega} d\mu \forall f \in C_\Omega$. By Proposition 3.5 μ is supported by the Borel set $E := X_{Q \cap C_\Omega} \setminus \cup_{j \in I} \Delta_j$. According to Proposition 3.6 it suffices to show $E \subseteq X(B_\Omega)$. But this is clear. Let $\alpha \in E$. If $j \in \Omega \setminus I$ then $N_j^2 - x_j^2 \in Q$ so $\frac{1}{1+x_j^2} - \frac{1}{1+N_j^2} = \frac{N_j^2 - x_j^2}{(1+x_j^2)(1+N_j^2)} \in Q \cap C_\Omega$. Thus $\alpha(\frac{1}{1+x_j^2}) \geq \frac{1}{1+N_j^2}$. If $j \in I$ then $\alpha \notin \Delta_j$, so $\alpha(\frac{1}{1+x_j^2}) > 0$. \square

6. CYLINDER RESULTS

Fix $i_0 \in \Omega$ and let $\Omega' := \Omega \setminus \{i_0\}$. Consider the subalgebras $A_\Omega \subseteq B_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}] \subseteq B_\Omega$ and $C_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}] \subseteq C_\Omega$. Observe that $X(B_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}])$ is naturally identified with \mathbb{R}^Ω and $X(C_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}])$ is naturally identified with $\mathbb{S}^{\Omega'} \times \mathbb{R}$.

The cylinder results in [26, Section 4] and [27] extend in a straightforward way to the case where Ω is infinite. As a consequence, we are able to strengthen slightly the statement of Propositions 4.6, 4.8 and 4.10.

Proposition 6.1.

- (1) For $f \in C_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}]$, $\hat{f} \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{S}^{\Omega'} \times \mathbb{R}$ iff $\exists k \geq 0$ such that $f + \epsilon(1 + x_{j_0}^2)^k \in \sum C_{\Omega'}[x_{j_0}]^2 \forall \text{ real } \epsilon > 0$.
- (2) For $f \in B_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}]$, $\hat{f} \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^{Ω} iff $\exists q$ of the form $q = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + x_{i_k}^2)^{\ell_k}$, where x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} are the variables appearing in the coefficients of f and $k \geq 0$ such that $f + \epsilon q(1 + x_{i_0}^2)^k \in \sum B_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}]^2 \forall \text{ real } \epsilon > 0$.

Proof. (1) Since the quadratic module $\sum C_{\Omega'}^2$ of $C_{\Omega'}$ is archimedean, this is an immediate consequence of [24, Theorem 5.1]. (2) If $f \in B_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}]$, say $f \in B_I[x_{i_0}]$ where $I \subseteq \Omega'$ is finite, there exists an element q of the form $q = \prod_{j \in I} (1 + x_j^2)^{\ell_j}$ such that $\frac{f}{q} \in C_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}]$. Thus, if $f \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^{Ω} then $\frac{f}{q} \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{S}^{\Omega'} \times \mathbb{R}$ so $\frac{f}{q} + \epsilon(1 + x_{i_0}^2)^k \in \sum C_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}]^2$ for some $k \geq 0$ and, consequently, $f + \epsilon q(1 + x_{i_0}^2)^k \in \sum B_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}]^2 \forall \text{ real } \epsilon > 0$. \square

Proposition 6.2. For a linear functional $L : A_{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following are equivalent:

- (1) L is a positive linear functional.
- (2) L extends to a PSD linear functional $L : B_{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- (3) L extends to a PSD linear functional $L : B_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- (4) $\forall f \in A_{\Omega}$ and $\forall p$ of the form $p = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + x_{i_j}^2)^{\ell_j}$, where x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} are the variables appearing in the coefficients of f (viewing f as a polynomial in x_{i_0} with coefficients in $A_{\Omega'}$) and $\ell_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, $pf \in \sum A_{\Omega}^2 \Rightarrow L(f) \geq 0$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). By Proposition 3.4. (2) \Rightarrow (3). Immediate. (3) \Rightarrow (4). Since $pf \in \sum A_{\Omega}^2$, it follows that $p^2 f \in \sum A_{\Omega}^2$, so $f \in \sum B_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}]^2$. Since the extension of L to $B_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}]$ is PSD this implies $L(f) \geq 0$. (4) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose $f \in A_{\Omega}$, $\hat{f} \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^{Ω} . By Proposition 6.1 (2) $\exists q = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + x_{i_j}^2)^{\ell_j}$, where x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} are the variables appearing in the coefficients of f and $k \geq 0$ such that $f + \epsilon q(1 + x_{i_0}^2)^k \in \sum B_{\Omega'}[x_{i_0}]^2 \forall \epsilon > 0$. Clearing denominators, $p^2(f + \epsilon q(1 + x_{i_0}^2)^k) \in \sum A_{\Omega}^2$ for some p (depending on ϵ) of the form $p = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + x_{i_j}^2)^{m_j}$. By (4), $L(f) + \epsilon L(q(1 + x_{i_0}^2)^k) = L(f + \epsilon q(1 + x_{i_0}^2)^k) \geq 0$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this implies $L(f) \geq 0$. \square

Proposition 6.3. Suppose $L : A_{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear and PSD and condition (4.2) holds, for each $j \in \Omega$, $j \neq i_0$. Then there exists a constructibly Borel measure ν on \mathbb{R}^{Ω} such that $L = L_{\nu}$. If condition (4.2) also holds for $j = i_0$ then ν is unique.

Proof. Argue as in [26, Corollary 4.7 and 4.8] and [27, Theorem 0.1]. \square

Combining Proposition 6.3 and Remark 4.7 yields the following result which is due to Nussbaum [28] in case $|\Omega| < \infty$.

Proposition 6.4. Suppose $L : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear and PSD and, for each $j \in \Omega$, $j \neq i_0$ the Carleman condition (4.3) holds. Then there exists a constructibly Radon measure ν on \mathbb{R}^Ω such that $L = L_\nu$. If condition (4.3) also holds for $j = i_0$ then ν is unique.

Proposition 4.10 extends in a similar way.

Proposition 6.5. Suppose $L : A_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear and PSD. For each $j \in \Omega$ fix a Radon measure μ_j on \mathbb{R} such that $L|_{\mathbb{R}[x_j]} = L_{\mu_j}$ and suppose, for each $j \in \Omega$, $j \neq i_0$ condition (4.4) holds. Then there exists a constructibly Radon measure μ on \mathbb{R}^Ω such that $L = L_\mu$. If condition (4.4) also holds for $j = i_0$ then μ is unique.

REFERENCES

- [1] N.I. Akhiezer, The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh and London (1965). (Translation from Russian ed., Moscow 1961.)
- [2] E. Becker, N. Schwartz, Zum Darstellungssatz von Kadison-Dubois. *Arch. Math.* **39** 421–428 (1983).
- [3] Y.M. Berezansky, Y.G. Kondratiev, Spectral methods in infinite-dimensional analysis. Vol. 2. Translated from the 1988 Russian original by P. V. Malyshev and D. V. Malyshev and revised by the authors. *Mathematical Physics and Applied Mathematics*, 12/2. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [4] C. Berg, The multidimensional moment problem and semigroups. Moments in mathematics (San Antonio, Tex., 1987), 110–124, *Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math.*, **37**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [5] C. Berg, J.P.R. Christensen, Exposants critiques dans le problème des moments. *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris Série I* **296**, 661–663 (1983).
- [6] C. Berg, J.P.R. Christensen, C. Jensen, A remark on the multidimensional moment problem, *Math. Ann.* **243**, 163–169 (1979).
- [7] C. Berg, J.P.R. Christensen, P. Ressel, Positive definite functions on abelian semigroups, *Math. Ann.* **223**, 253–272, (1976).
- [8] B. Fuglede, The multidimensional moment problem. *Expositiones Mathematicae* **1**, 47–65 (1983).
- [9] M. Ghasemi, M. Infusino, S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, A continuous moment problem for locally convex spaces, to appear.
- [10] M. Ghasemi, S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, Application of Jacobi’s representation theorem to locally multiplicatively convex topological \mathbb{R} -algebras, *J. Funct. Analysis* **266** no. 2, 1041–1049 (2014).
- [11] M. Ghasemi, M. Marshall, S. Wagner, Closure of the cone of sums of $2d$ -powers in certain weighted ℓ_1 -seminorm topologies, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **57** no 2, 289–302 (2014).
- [12] P.R. Halmos, Measure Theory. D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1950.
- [13] E.K. Haviland, On the momentum problem for distribution functions in more than one dimension I. *Amer. J. Math.* **57**, 562–572 (1935). II. *Amer. J. Math.* **58**, 164–168 (1936).
- [14] M. Infusino, T. Kuna, A. Rota, The full infinite dimensional moment problem on semi-algebraic sets of generalized functions, *J. Funct. Analysis* **267** no 5, 1382–1418 (2014).
- [15] T. Jacobi, A representation theorem for certain partially ordered commutative rings. *Math. Z.* **237**, 259–273 (2001).

- [16] T. Jacobi, A. Prestel, Distinguished representations of strictly positive polynomials. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **532**, 223–235 (2001).
- [17] J.L. Krivine, Anneaux préordonnés. *J. Analyse Math.* **12**, 307–326 (1964).
- [18] S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, Positivity, sums of squares and the multidimensional moment problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354**, 4285–4301 (2002).
- [19] J.B. Lasserre, Moments, positive polynomials and their applications. *Imperial College Press Optimization Series*, **1**. Imperial College Press, London, 2010.
- [20] J.B. Lasserre, An algorithm for semi-infinite polynomial optimization. *Top* **20**(1), 119–129 (2012)
- [21] J.B. Lasserre, The K-moment problem for continuous functionals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365**, 2489–2504 (2013).
- [22] M. Laurent, Sums of squares, moment matrices and optimization over polynomials. (English summary) Emerging applications of algebraic geometry, 157–270, *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.*, **149**, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [23] M. Marshall, Extending the Archimedean Positivstellensatz to the non-compact case, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **44**, 223–230 (2001).
- [24] M. Marshall, Approximating positive polynomials using sums of squares, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **46**, 400–418 (2003).
- [25] M. Marshall, Positive polynomials and sums of squares, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, **146**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [26] M. Marshall, Application of localization to the multivariate moment problem, *Math. Scand.*, to appear.
- [27] M. Marshall, Application of localization to the multivariate moment problem II, to appear.
- [28] A.E. Nussbaum, Quasi-analytic vectors, *Ark. Math.* **6** (10), 179–191 (1965).
- [29] L.C. Petersen, On the relation between the multidimensional moment problem and the one dimensional moment problem, *Math. Scand.* **51**, 361–366 (1982).
- [30] M. Putinar, Positive polynomials on compact sets, *Ind. Univ. Math. J.* **42**, 969–984 (1993).
- [31] M. Putinar, K. Schmüdgen, Multivariate determinateness, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **6**, special issue, 2931–2968 (2008).
- [32] M. Putinar, F.-H. Vasilescu, Solving moment problems by dimensional extension. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **149**, no. 3, 1087–1107 (1999). *Nonconvex Optim. Appl.*, **25**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Boston, MA, 1998.
- [33] K. Schmüdgen, An example of a positive polynomial which is not a sum of squares of polynomials. A positive, but not strongly positive functional, *Math. Nachr.* **88**, 385–390 (1979).
- [34] K. Schmüdgen, On determinacy notions for the two dimensional moment problem, *Ark. Math.* **29**, 277–284 (1991).
- [35] J.A. Shohat, J.D. Tamarkin, The problem of moments. *AMS mathematical surveys*, New York (1943).
- [36] M. Sodin, A note on the Hall-Mergelyan theme. *Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.* **3** no. 1-2, 164–168 (1996).
- [37] T.J. Stieltjes, Recherches sur les fractions continues, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse*, A5–A47 (1985). Reprinted in *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* **4** no. 4, A5–A47 (1995).
- [38] Li Wang, Feng Guo, Semidefinite relaxations for semi-infinite polynomial programming. *Comput. Optim. Appl.* **58**, Issue 1, 133–159 (2014).

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS,
UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN,
SASKATOON, SK. S7N 5E6, CANADA
E-mail address: `mehdi.ghasemi@usask.ca`, `marshall@math.usask.ca`

²FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND STATISTIK,
UNIVERSITÄT KONSTANZ
78457 KONSTANZ, GERMANY
E-mail address: `salma.kuhlmann@uni-konstanz.de`